arXiv:1403.7789v1 [gr-qc] 30 Mar 2014

Contrasting formulations of cosmological perturbations in a magnetic FLRW cosmology

Leonardo Castañeda and Héctor Javier Hortúa

Grupo de Gravitación y Cosmología, Observatorio Astronómico Nacional, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, cra 45 #26-85, Ed. Uriel Gutierréz, Bogotá D.C, Colombia

E-mail: lcastanedac@unal.edu.co, hjhortuao@unal.edu.co

Abstract. In this paper we contrast the *1+3 covariant gauge invariant* formalism presented by Ellis & Bruni (1989) and the *gauge invariant* described by Bruni et.al. (1997), comparing their gauge invariant variables associated with magnetic field defined in each approach. The first part we give an introduction of each formalism assuming the presence of a magnetic field. We found that gauge invariant defined by 1+3 covariant approach is related with spatial variations of the magnetic field (defined in the invariant gauge formalism) between two closed fundamental observers. This relation was done choosing the comovil gauge in the gauge invariant approach in a magnetized universe. Also, we have derived the gauge transformations for electromagnetic potentials in the gauge invariant approach and write the Maxwell's equations in terms of these potentials.

1. Introduction

Cosmological perturbation theory has become a standard tool in modern cosmology to understand the formation of the large scale structure in the universe, and also to calculate the fluctuations in the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB)[1]. The first treatment of perturbation theory within General Relativity was developed by Lifshitz [2], where he studied the evolution of structures in a perturbed Friedman-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker universe (FLRW) under synchronous gauge. After, the covariant approach of perturbation theory was formulated by Hawking [3] and following by Olson [4], where perturbation in the curvature was worked rather than on metric perturbations. Afterwards based on early work by Gerlach and Sengupta [5], Bardeen [6] introduced a full gauge invariant approach of perturbation theory where he builds a set of gauge invariant quantities related with density perturbations (see also Kodama & Sasaki [7] for an extensive review).

However, alternative representations of the previous formalisms were appearing due to the gauge-problem [8]. This issue arises in cosmological perturbation theory due to the fact splitting of all metric and matter variables into a homogeneous and isotropic space-time plus small desviations of the background, is not unique. Basically, peturbations in any quantity are defined choosing a correspondence between a fiducial background space-time and the physical universe. But, given the general covariance in the theory, which states that there is not a preferred correspondence between these space-times‡, a freedom in the way how to map points between two manifolds appears [9]. This arbitrariness generates a residual degree of freedom, which would imply that variables might not have a physical interpretation.
Following the works mentioned above, two main formalisms have been developed for studying the evolution of matter variables and to deal with the gauge-problem, they are found in the literature and will be reviewed in this paper. The first is known as *1+3 covariant gauge invariant* presented by Ellis & Bruni [10]. This approach was based on earlier works of Hawking and Stewar & Walker [11]. The idea is to define covariantly variables such that they vanish in the background, therefore, can be consider as gauge invariant under gauge transformation in according to Stewart-Walker lemma [12]. Adopting this approach, gauge-invariant variables avoid the gauge ambiguities and these ones had a clear and physical interpretation, thus it sidesteps the gauge-problem. Since the covariant variables does not assume linearization, exact equations are found for their evolution. The second approach consider perturbations of arbitrary order in a geometrical perspective which was introduced by Bruni [13] and it's known as *gauge invariant* approach. Here, perturbations are splits into the so-called scalar, vector and tensor parts and the gauge invariant are found with gauge transformations together with results of Stewart-Walker. The gauge transformations are generated by arbitrary

‡ The only restriction is that perturbation be small respect to it's value in the background, even so, it doesn't help to specify the map in a unique way.

vector fields, defined on the background spacetime, and associated with a one-parameter family of diffeomorphisms. This approach allows to find the conditions for the gauge invariance of any tensor field, although at high order sometimes appears unclear. As alternative description of the latter approach, it's adecuate comment the work done by Nakamura [14] where he splits the metric perturbations into a gauge invariant and gauge variant part, and thus, evolution equations are written in terms of gauge invariant.

Given the importance and advantage of these two approaches is nessesary to find equivalences between them. Some authors have worked comparations of different formalism, for example [32] discuss the invariant quantities found by Bardeen with the ones build on the 1+3 covariant gauge invariant in a specific coordinate system, also [16] found a way to reformulate the Bardeen approach in a covariant scenario and [17] constrasts the non-linear approach described by Malik et al. [18] with the Nakamura's approach.
The purpose of this paper is to present a new way for contrasting the approaches mentioned above. For this, we follow the methodology used by [32] and [19] where a comparation of gauge invariant quantities built in each approach is made, however, we address the treatment in the cosmological magnetic fields context, where cosmological perturbation theory have played an important role. For example, to explain the origin of magnetic fields in galaxies and clusters from a possible weak cosmological magnetic field originated before to recombination era. This means that magnetic fields leave imprint of theirs influence on evolution of the universe, whether in Nucleosynthesis or CMB anisotropies[20, 21]. Thus, the study of primordial magnetic fields offer a qualitatively window to the very early universe[22]. In general, the treatment of cosmological perturbations in a universe permeated by a large-scale primordial magnetic field has been widely worked by Tsagas [23, 24, 25] and Ellis [26], where they found the complete equations system which show a direct coupling between the Maxwell and the Einstein fields and also gauge invariant for magnetic fields were built in the frame of 1+3 covariant approach. Furthermore, in a previous work, we obtained a set of equations which describe the evolution of cosmological magnetic fields up to second order in the gauge invariant approach and also we found the gauge transformations of the fields, very important for making the gauge invariant magnetic variables [27]. Therefore, studying in detail the gauge invariant of the magnetic quantities in these formalism we can make a link between them. Finally, as an additional result, we build the electromagnetic four-potentials and to write the Maxwell equation in terms of these ones.

The outline of the paper is as follows: In section 2 and 3, the 1+3 covariant and gauge invariant formalisms are reviewed and the key gauge-invariant variables are defined. In section 4, we introduce the electromagnetical potentials in perturbation theory using the gauge invariant formalism, the gauge transformations are deduced and the Maxwell equations are written here in terms of the potentials. The section 5 shows the equivalence between the 1+3 covariant and gauge invariant formalism, studying in

detail the invarian gauge quantities and discuss the physical meaning of these variables. The last section, is devoted to a discussion of the main results.

We use Greek indices $\mu, \nu, ..$ for spacetime coordinates and Roman indices $i, j, ..$ for purely spatial coordinates. We also adopt units where the speed of light $c = 1$ and a metric signature $(-, +, +, +)$.

2. The 1+3 Covariant approach: Preliminaries

We first review the Ellis & Bruni [10] covariant formalism and the extension of it with magnetic field described by Tsagas & Barrow [24, 28] briefly. The average motion of matter in the universe defines a future-directed timelike four-velocity u_α, corresponding to a fundamental observer ($u_\alpha u^\alpha = -1$), and generates a unique splitting of spacetime into the tangent 3-spaces orthogonal to u_α. The second order rank symmetric tensor $h_{\alpha\beta}$ written as

$$h_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta} + u_\alpha u_\beta, \tag{1}$$

is the projector tensor which defines the spatial part of the local rest frames of the fundamental observes ($h^\beta_{\ \alpha} u_\beta = 0$). The proper time derivative along the fluid-flow lines and spatial derivative in the local rest frame for any tensorial quantity $T^{\alpha\beta..}_{\ \ \ \ \gamma\delta..}$ are given by

$$\dot{T}^{\alpha\beta..}_{\ \ \ \ \gamma\delta..} = u^\lambda \nabla_\lambda T^{\alpha\beta..}_{\ \ \ \ \gamma\delta..} \quad \text{and} \quad D_\lambda T^{\alpha\beta..}_{\ \ \ \ \gamma\delta..} = h^\epsilon_{\ \lambda} h^\omega_{\ \gamma} h^\tau_{\ \delta} h^\alpha_{\ \mu} h^\beta_{\ \nu} \nabla_\epsilon T^{\mu\nu..}_{\ \ \ \ \omega\tau..} \tag{2}$$

respectively. We introduce D_λ as the covariant derivative operator orthogonal to u_α. The kinematic variables are introduced by splitting the covariant derivative of u_α into it's spatial and temporal parts, thus, we have

$$\nabla_\alpha u_\beta = \sigma_{\beta\alpha} + \omega_{\beta\alpha} + \frac{\Theta}{3} h_{\beta\alpha} - a_\beta u_\alpha, \tag{3}$$

where, the variable a_α is the acceleration ($a_\alpha u^\alpha = 0$), $\Theta = \nabla_\alpha u^\alpha$ is the volume expansion, $\sigma_{\beta\alpha} = D_{(\alpha} u_{\beta)} - \frac{\Theta}{3} h_{\beta\alpha}$ is the shear ($\sigma_{\alpha\beta} u^\alpha = 0, \sigma^\alpha_{\ \alpha} = 0$) and $\omega_{\beta\alpha} = D_{[\alpha} u_{\beta]}$ is the vorticity ($\omega_{\alpha\beta} u^\alpha = 0, \omega^\alpha_{\ \alpha} = 0$). Also, on using the totally antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensor $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$, one defines the vorticity vector $\omega^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu\beta} u_\beta$. A length scale factor a is introduced along the fluid flow of u_α by means of $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \frac{\Theta}{3}$, with H the local Hubble parameter. Now, we summarize some of results of the covariant studies of electromagnetic fields. The Maxwell's equations in their standard tensor form are written as

$$\nabla_{[\alpha} F_{\beta\gamma]} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^\beta F_{\alpha\beta} = j_\alpha. \tag{4}$$

These equation are covariantly characterized by the antisymmetric electromagnetic tensor $F_{\alpha\beta}$ and where j_α is the four-current that sources the electromagnetic field. Using the four-velocity, the electromagnetic fields can be expressed as a four-vector electric field E_α and magnetic field B_α as

$$E_\alpha = F_{\alpha\beta} u^\beta \quad \text{and} \quad B_\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} F^{\gamma\delta} u^\beta. \tag{5}$$

By definition, the electromagnetic four-vectors must be purely spatial and orthogonal to four-velocity ($E_\alpha u^\alpha = B_\alpha u^\alpha = 0$). We can write the electromagnetic tensor in terms of the electric and magnetic fields

$$F_{\alpha\beta} = u_\alpha E_\beta - E_\alpha u_\beta + B^\gamma \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} u^\delta. \tag{6}$$

The electromagnetic tensor determines the energy-momentum tensor of the field which is given by

$$T^{(EM)}_{\alpha\beta} = -F_{\alpha\gamma}F^\gamma_\beta - \frac{1}{4} g_{\alpha\beta} F_{\gamma\delta} F^{\gamma\delta}. \tag{7}$$

Using the four-vector u_α and the projection tensor $h_{\alpha\beta}$, we can decompose the Maxwell's equations (4) into a timelike and a spacelike component, getting the follows

$$h^\alpha_{\ \beta}\dot{E}^\beta = \left(\sigma^\alpha_{\ \beta} + \omega^\alpha_{\ \beta} - \frac{2}{3}\Theta\delta^\alpha_{\ \beta}\right) E^\beta + \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\delta\gamma} B_\delta \dot{u}_\beta u_\gamma + \operatorname{curl} B^\alpha - J^\alpha\,, \tag{8a}$$

$$h^\alpha_{\ \beta}\dot{B}^\beta = \left(\sigma^\alpha_{\ \beta} + \omega^\alpha_{\ \beta} - \frac{2}{3}\Theta\delta^\alpha_{\ \beta}\right) B^\beta - \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\delta\gamma} E_\delta \dot{u}_\beta u_\gamma - \operatorname{curl} E^\alpha\,, \tag{8b}$$

$$\mathrm{D}^\alpha E_\alpha = \varrho - 2\omega^\alpha B_\alpha\,, \tag{8c}$$

$$\mathrm{D}^\alpha B_\alpha = 2\omega^\alpha E_\alpha\,. \tag{8d}$$

Where we defined the curl operator as $\operatorname{curl} E^\alpha = \epsilon^{\beta\alpha\delta\gamma} u_\delta \nabla_\beta E_\gamma$ and the four-current j_α splits along and orthogonal to u^α, thus

$$\varrho = -j_\alpha u^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad J_\beta = h^\alpha_{\ \beta} j_\alpha \quad \text{with} \quad J_\alpha u^\alpha = 0. \tag{9}$$

Finally, using the antisymmetric electromagnetic tensor together with Maxwell's equations (4), one can arrives to a covariant form of the charge density conservation law

$$\dot{\varrho} = -\Theta\,\varrho - D^\alpha J_\alpha - \dot{u}^\alpha J_\alpha. \tag{10}$$

In this approach, Ellis & Bruni built gauge invariant quantities associated with the orthogonal spatial gradients of the energy density μ, pressure P and fluid expansion Θ. Assuming that the unperturbed background universe is represented by a FLRW metric, the following basic variables are considered

$$X_\alpha = \kappa\, h^\beta_{\ \alpha} \nabla_\beta \mu, \quad Y_\alpha = \kappa\, h^\beta_{\ \alpha} \nabla_\beta P \quad \text{and} \quad Z_\alpha = \kappa\, h^\beta_{\ \alpha} \nabla_\beta \Theta, \tag{11}$$

where $\kappa = 8\pi G$. In fact, the variables such as pressure or energy density are usually nonzero in the FLRW background and so are not gauge invariant. However the spatial projection of these variables defined in equation (11) vanish in the background, and so are gauge invariant and covariantly defined in the physical universe. Also it's important to define quantities which being more easy for measuring, thus is defined the fractional density gradient

$$\mathcal{X}_\alpha = \frac{X_\alpha}{\kappa\mu} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Y}_\alpha = \frac{Y_\alpha}{\kappa P}. \tag{12}$$

In the same way is defined the gauge invariant for magnetic fields in a magnetized universe. For the comovil fractional magnetic energy density distributions and the magnetic field vector it's found the follows

$$\mathcal{B}_\alpha = \frac{a}{B^2} D_\alpha B^2, \tag{13a}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha\beta} = a D_\beta B_\alpha. \tag{13b}$$

They describe the spatial variation in the magnetic energy density and the vector field B_i, as measured by a pair of neighbouring fundamental observers, in a gauge-invariant way, respectively.

3. Gauge invariant approach

Let us begin by reviewing some general ideas about the gauge invariant approach. Following [13, 32], we consider two Lorentzian manifolds $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ and $(\mathcal{M}_0, g_0)$, that represent the physical and the background space-times respectively. The perturbation of a tensor field T is defined as the difference between the values that the quantity takes in $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}_0$, evaluated at points which correspond to the same physical event. To compare any quantity in the two spacetimes, we assign the map, a diffeomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}_0$ which carry out the identification of points between $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}_0$. However, this identification map is completely arbitrary; this freedom arises in the cosmological perturbation theory and one may refer to it as gauge freedom of the second kind, in order to distinguish it from the usual gauge freedom of general relativity [8]. Once a identication map ϕ has been assigned, perturbations (living on $\mathcal{M}_0$) can be defined as

$$\Delta^\phi T|_{\mathcal{M}_0} = \phi^* T - T_0, \tag{14}$$

with T_0 the background tensor field corresponding to T and $\phi^* T$ is the pull-back which gives the representation of T over $\mathcal{M}_0$. To define the perturbation to a given order, the fields are expanded in Taylor power series and the above mentioned iteration scheme is then used. For this, we consider a family of four-*sub*manifold $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ embedded in a five-manifold $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}$. Each submanifold in the family represents a perturbed space-time and the background is represented when $\lambda = 0$ (namely $\mathcal{M}_0$). In each submanifold, the Einstein and Maxwell equations must be fulfilled

$$E[g_\lambda, T_\lambda] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad M[F_\lambda, j_\lambda] = 0. \tag{15}$$

To generalize the definition of perturbation given in equation (14), we introduce a one-parametric group of diffeomorphisms $\mathfrak{X}_\lambda$ in order to identify points of the background with the physical space-time labeled with λ. Therefore we get a way for defining the perturbation for any tensor field

$$\Delta^\phi T|_{\mathcal{M}_0} = \mathfrak{X}_\lambda^* T - T_0. \tag{16}$$

The first term of equation (16) which lives on $\mathcal{M}_0$ admit an expansion around $\lambda = 0$ given by

$$\mathfrak{X}_\lambda^* T = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \delta_{\mathfrak{X}}^{(k)} T = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \mathcal{L}_X^k T|_{\mathcal{M}_0} = exp(\lambda \mathcal{L}_X) T|_{\mathcal{M}_0}, \tag{17}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_X T$ is the Lie derivative of T along to the vector field X that generates the flow $\mathfrak{X}$, k does mention to the expansion order and $\delta^{(k)}_{\mathfrak{X}} T$ represents the k-th order perturbative of T. If we choose another vector field (gauge choice) $\mathfrak{Y}_\lambda$, the expansion of T is written as

$$\mathfrak{Y}^*_\lambda T = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \delta^{(k)}_{\mathfrak{Y}} T = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \mathcal{L}^k_Y T|_{\mathcal{M}_0}, \tag{18}$$

At this point, it's useful to define fields on $\mathcal{M}$ that are intrinsically gauge independent. We say that a quantity is gauge invariant if it's value at any point of $\mathcal{M}$ does not depend on the gauge choice, namely $\mathfrak{Y}^*_\lambda T = \mathfrak{X}^*_\lambda T$. An alternative way to define a gauge invariant quantity at order $n \geqslant 1$ (see proposition 1 in [13]), is iff

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi \delta^{(k)} T = 0, \tag{19}$$

is satisfied. Here ξ is any vector field on $\mathcal{M}$ and $\forall k \leqslant n$. At first order ($k = 1$) the Stewart-Walker lemma is found [12]. In cases where tensor field is gauge dependent, is useful represent this tensor from a particular gauge $\mathfrak{X}$ in other $\mathfrak{Y}$. For this, we introduce a identification map Φ on $\mathcal{M}_0$, $\Phi_\lambda : \mathcal{M}_0 \to \mathcal{M}_0$ defined by

$$\Phi_\lambda = \mathfrak{X}_{-\lambda} \circ \mathfrak{Y}_\lambda \quad \text{that implies} \quad \mathfrak{Y}^*_\lambda T = \Phi^*_\lambda \mathfrak{X}^*_\lambda T. \tag{20}$$

Therefore, Φ induces a pull-back which changes the representation X of T to the representatio Y of T. Now, to generalize equation (17) and using the Baker-Campbell-Haussdorf formula [33], the gauge transformation on $\mathcal{M}_0$ of T is

$$\Phi^*_\lambda \mathfrak{X}^*_\lambda T = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \mathcal{L}_{\xi_k} \right) \mathfrak{X}^*_\lambda T. \tag{21}$$

With ξ_k a vector field on $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$. The relations to first and second order perturbations of T in two differents gauge choices are found subsituting the equations (17,18) in equation (21), getting the follows

$$\delta^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{Y}} T - \delta^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{X}} T = \mathcal{L}_{\xi_1} T|_{\mathcal{M}_0}\,, \tag{22a}$$

$$\delta^{(2)}_{\mathfrak{Y}} T - \delta^{(2)}_{\mathfrak{X}} T = 2\mathcal{L}_{\xi_1} \delta^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{X}} T|_{\mathcal{M}_0} + \left(\mathcal{L}^2_{\xi_1} + \mathcal{L}_{\xi_2} \right) T|_{\mathcal{M}_0}\,. \tag{22b}$$

Where the generators of the gauge transformation Φ are

$$\xi_1 = Y - X \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_2 = [X, Y]. \tag{23}$$

This vector field can be split in their time and space part

$$\xi^{(k)}_\mu = (\alpha^{(k)}, \partial_i \beta^{(r)} + d^{(k)}_i), \tag{24}$$

here $\alpha^{(k)}$ and $\beta^{(r)}$ are arbitrary scalar functions, and $\partial^i d^{(k)}_i = 0$. The function $\alpha^{(k)}$ determines the choice of constant time hypersurfaces, while $\beta^{(r)}$ and $d^{(k)}_i$ fix the spatial coordinates within the hypersurface.

3.1. Perturbations on a magnetized FLRW background

At zero order (background), the universe is well described by a spatially flat FLRW

$$ds^2 = a^2(\tau)\left(-d\tau^2 + \delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j\right), \tag{25}$$

with $a(\tau)$ the scale factor with τ the conformal time. The Einstein tensor components in this background are given by

$$G^0_0 = -\frac{3H^2}{a^2}, \quad G^i_j = -\frac{1}{a^2}\left(2\frac{a''}{a} - H^2\right)\delta^i_j, \tag{26}$$

with $H = \frac{a'}{a}$ the Hubble parameter and prime denotes the derivative with respect to τ. We consider the background filled with a single barotropic fluid where the energy momentum tensor is

$$T^{\mu}_{(fl)\,\nu} = \left(\mu_{(0)} + P_{(0)}\right)u^{\mu}_{(0)}u^{(0)}_{\nu} + P_{(0)}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}, \tag{27}$$

with $\mu_{(0)}$ the energy density and $P_{(0)}$ the pressure. The comoving observers are defined by the four-velocity $u^\nu = (a^{-1}, 0, 0, 0)$ with $u^\nu u_\nu = -1$ and the conservation law for the fluid is

$$\mu'_{(0)} + 3H(\mu_{(0)} + P_{(0)}) = 0. \tag{28}$$

Besides, we allow the presence of a weak and spatially homogeneous large-scale magnetic field into our FLRW background with the property $B^2_{(0)} \ll \mu_{(0)}$. This field must be sufficiently random to satisfy $\langle B^{(0)}_i\rangle = 0$ and $\langle B^2_{(0)}\rangle \neq 0$ to ensure that symmetries and the evolution of the background remain unaffected. Working under MHD approximation in large scales, the plasma is globally neutral, this means that charge density is neglected and the electric field with the current should be zero, thus the only nonzero magnetic variable in the background is $B^2_{(0)}$. The evolution of energy density magnetic field is given by

$$B^{2\prime}_{(0)} = -4HB_{(0)}, \tag{29}$$

showing $B^2 \sim a^{-4}$ in the background. Fixing the background, we consider the perturbations up to second order about this FLRW universe, so that the metric tensor is given by

$$g_{00} = -a^2(\tau)\left(1 + 2\psi^{(1)} + \psi^{(2)}\right), \tag{30}$$

$$g_{0i} = a^2(\tau)\left(\omega^{(1)}_i + \frac{1}{2}\omega^{(2)}_i\right), \tag{31}$$

$$g_{ij} = a^2(\tau)\left[\left(1 - 2\phi^{(1)} - \phi^{(2)}\right)\delta_{ij} + \chi^{(1)}_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\chi^{(2)}_{ij}\right]. \tag{32}$$

The perturbations are splitting into scalar, transverse vector part, and transverse trace-free tensor

$$\omega^{(k)}_i = \partial_i\omega^{(k)\parallel} + \omega^{(k)\perp}_i, \tag{33}$$

with $\partial^i\omega^{(k)\perp}_i = 0$, and $k = 1, 2$. Similarly we can split $\chi^{(k)}_{ij}$ as

$$\chi^{(k)}_{ij} = D_{ij}\chi^{(k)\parallel} + \partial_i\chi^{(k)\perp}_j + \partial_j\chi^{(k)\perp}_i + \chi^{(k)\top}_{ij}, \tag{34}$$

for any tensor quantity.§ Now, at zero order the variables depend only on τ, for example the scalar variables, energy density of the matter and the magnetic field, can be written as

$$\mu = \mu_{(0)} + \mu_{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\mu_{(2)}, \tag{35}$$

$$B^2 = B^2_{(0)} + B^2_{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}B^2_{(2)}, \tag{36}$$

and the vector variables such as magnetic and electric field and four-velocity among others as

$$B^i = \frac{1}{a^2(\tau)}\left(B^i_{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}B^i_{(2)}\right), \tag{37}$$

$$E^i = \frac{1}{a^2(\tau)}\left(E^i_{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}E^i_{(2)}\right), \tag{38}$$

$$u^\mu = \frac{1}{a(\tau)}\left(\delta^\mu_0 + v^\mu_{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}v^\mu_{(2)}\right). \tag{39}$$

Again, the 4-velocity u^μ is subject to normalization condition $u^\mu u_\mu = -1$ and in any gauge, this four-velocity has the following form

$$u_\mu = a\left[-1 - \psi^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2}\psi^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2}\psi^2_{(1)} - v^{(1)}_i v^i_{(1)},\right.$$
$$\left.\omega^{(1)}_i + v^{(1)}_i + \frac{1}{2}\left(\omega^{(2)}_i + v^{(2)}_i\right) - \omega^{(1)}_i\psi^{(1)} + v^j_{(1)}\chi^{(1)}_{ij} - 2v^{(1)}_i\phi_{(1)}\right] \tag{40}$$

$$u^\mu = \frac{1}{a}\left[1 - \psi^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\left(3\psi^2_{(1)} - \psi^{(2)} + v^{(1)}_i v^i_{(1)} + 2\omega^{(1)}_i v^i_{(1)}\right), v^i_{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}v^i_{(2)}\right]. \tag{41}$$

Using the equation $(22a)$, we can find the transformation of the metric and matter variables

$$\tilde{\psi}^{(1)} = \psi^{(1)} + \frac{1}{a}(a\alpha_{(1)})', \tag{42a}$$

$$\tilde{\phi}^{(1)} = \phi^{(1)} - H\alpha_{(1)} - \frac{1}{3}\nabla^2\beta^{(1)}, \tag{42b}$$

$$\tilde{v_i}^{(1)} = v^{(1)}_i - \xi'^{(1)}_i, \tag{42c}$$

$$\tilde{\omega_i}^{(1)} = \omega^{(1)}_i - \partial_i\alpha^{(1)} + \xi'_{i\,(1)}, \tag{42d}$$

and with these latter equations, we can build the gauge invariant variables. One way for getting the gauge invariant, is to fix the vector field ξ at a particular gauge, for example the longitudinal gauge (set the scalar perturbations ω and χ being zero). Thus, one can find the scalar *gauge invariant variables* at first order given by

$$\Psi^{(1)} \equiv \psi^{(1)} + \frac{1}{a}\left(\mathcal{S}^{||}_{(1)}a\right)', \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi^{(1)} \equiv \phi^{(1)} + \frac{1}{6}\nabla^2\chi^{(1)} - H\mathcal{S}^{||}_{(1)}, \tag{43}$$

with $\mathcal{S}^{||}_{(1)} \equiv \left(\omega^{||(1)} - \frac{\left(\chi^{||(1)}\right)'}{2}\right)$ the scalar contribution of the shear. These are commonly called the Bardeen potentials which were interpreted by him as the spatial dependence

§ With $\partial^i\chi^{(k)\top}_{ij} = \partial^i\chi^{(k)\perp}_i = 0$, $\chi^{(k)i}_i = 0$ and $D_{ij} \equiv \partial_i\partial_j - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{ij}\partial_k\partial^k$.

of the proper time intervals between two nearly observers and curvature perturbations respectively. Other scalar invariants are

$$\Delta^{(1)} \equiv \mu_{(1)} + \left(\mu_{(0)}\right)' \mathcal{S}^{||}_{(1)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta^{(1)}_P \equiv P_{(1)} + \left(P_{(0)}\right)' \mathcal{S}^{||}_{(1)}, \tag{44}$$

which describe the energy density and pressure of the matter. The vector modes are

$$\vartheta^{(1)}_i \equiv \omega^{(1)}_i - \left(\chi^{\perp(1)}_i\right)', \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}^i_{(1)} \equiv \omega^i_{(1)} + v^i_{(1)}, \tag{45}$$

related with the vorticity of the fluid. Another gauge invariant variables are the 3-current, the charge density and the electric and magnetic fields, because they vanish in the background. The tensor quantities are also gauge invariant because they are null in the background [12]. For studying the evolution of magnetic field in large-scales we must find the expresion of Maxwell's equation (4) in this formalism. The deduction of equations below are shown in [27]. At first order the Maxwell's equation are expressed as

$$\partial_i E^i_{(1)} = a\varrho_{(1)}\,, \tag{46a}$$

$$\partial_i B^i_{(1)} = 0\,, \tag{46b}$$

$$\epsilon^{ilk}\partial_l B^{(1)}_k = (E^i_{(1)})' + 2HE^i_{(1)} + aJ^i_{(1)}\,, \tag{46c}$$

$$(B^i_{(1)})' + 2HB^i_{(1)} = -\epsilon^{ilk}\partial_l E^{(1)}_k\,, \tag{46d}$$

these equations represent the evolution of fields in a totally invariant way. The energy density of the magnetic field is the unique which is gauge dependent quantity, it's evolves under MHD approximation as $\sim a^{-4}$, and it transforms at first order as

$$\Delta^{(1)}_{mag} = B^2_{(1)} + \left(B^2_{(0)}\right)' \alpha^{(1)}. \tag{47}$$

At second order, the Maxwell's equations are given by

$$\partial_i \mathcal{E}^i_{(2)} = -4E^i_{(1)}\partial_i\left(\Psi^{(1)} - 3\Phi^{(1)}\right) + a\Delta^{(2)}_{\varrho} - \boldsymbol{S}_{14}\left(\mathcal{O}^{(2)}\right)\,, \tag{48a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\nabla\times\mathcal{B}^{(2)}\right)^i &= 2E^i_{(1)}\left(2\left(\Psi^{(1)}\right)' - 6\left(\Phi^{(1)}\right)'\right) + \left(\mathcal{E}^i_{(2)}\right)' + 2H\mathcal{E}^i_{(2)} \\ &+2\left(2\Psi^{(1)} - 6\Phi^{(1)}\right)\left(\nabla\times B_{(1)}\right)^i + a\mathcal{J}^i_{(2)} + \boldsymbol{S}^i_{15}\left(\mathcal{O}^{(2)}\right)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{48b}$$

$$\frac{1}{a^2}\left(a^2\mathcal{B}^{(2)}_k\right)' + \left(\nabla\times\mathcal{E}_{j(2)}\right)_k = 0\,, \tag{48c}$$

$$\partial_i\mathcal{B}^{i(2)} = 0\,. \tag{48d}$$

Where the last two equations, the right hand side are zero by fixing of the gauge. The $\boldsymbol{S}$ are functions which carries the gauge dependence of the non-homogeneous Maxwell's equations. The previous equations are written in terms of gauge dependent variables, which transform as

$$\mathcal{E}^{(2)}_i = E^{(2)}_i + 2\left[\frac{\left(a^2E^{(1)}_i\alpha^{(1)}\right)'}{a^2} + \left(\xi'_{(1)}\times B^{(1)}\right)_i + \xi^l_{(1)}\partial_l E^{(1)}_i + E^{(1)}_l\partial_i\xi^l_{(1)}\right], \tag{49}$$

$$\mathcal{B}^{(2)}_i = B^{(2)}_i + 2\left[\frac{\alpha^{(1)}}{a^2}\left(a^2B^{(1)}_i\right)' + \left(\nabla\times\left(B^{(1)}\times\xi^{(1)}\right) + E^{(1)}\times\nabla\alpha^{(1)}\right)_i\right] \tag{50}$$

here $\Delta^{(2)}_{\varrho}$ and $\mathcal{J}^{i}_{(2)}$ transform in according to equations (80),(81) in [27]. The energy density at second order evolves as equation (117) in [27], and it's transforms like

$$\Delta^{(2)}_{(mag)} = B^2_{(2)} + B^{2\prime}_{(0)}\alpha_{(2)} + \alpha_{(1)}\left(B^{2\prime\prime}_{(0)}\alpha_{(1)} + B^{2\prime}_{(0)}\alpha'_{(1)} + 2B^{2\prime}_{(1)}\right)$$
$$+\xi^{i}_{(1)}\left(B^{2\prime}_{(0)}\partial_i\alpha^{(1)} + 2\partial_i B^2_{(1)}\right). \tag{51}$$

Therefore, fixing the gauge, we have the gauge invariant variables related with the electromagnetic fields. Finally, applying the divergence to equation (48b) and using the equation (48a), we obtain the conservations equations up to second order for the charge given by

$$\varrho'_{(1)} + 3H\varrho_{(1)} + \nabla\cdot J_{(1)} = 0, \tag{52}$$
$$\Delta^{(2)\prime}_{\varrho} + 3H\Delta^{(2)}_{\varrho} + \nabla\cdot\mathcal{J}^{i}_{(2)}$$
$$+4\varrho_{(1)}(\tilde{\psi}'_{(1)} - 3\tilde{\phi}'_{(1)}) + 4J_{(1)}\cdot\nabla(\tilde{\psi}_{(1)} - 3\tilde{\phi}_{(1)}) = 0. \tag{53}$$

4. Electromagnetic potentials

The covariant form of the Maxwell's equations (see homogeneous equation (4)) reflects the existence of a four-potential [24]. This means, we can define the four potential as $A_\mu = (-\varphi, A_i)$ with the antisymmetric condition given by $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\nu A_\mu - \partial_\mu A_\nu$. At first order, the four-potential is gauge invariant (it's null at the background). Using the homogeneous Maxwells equations, we can define the fields in terms of four-vector potentials

$$B^{(1)}_i = (\nabla\times A^{(1)})_i \quad \text{and} \quad E^{(1)}_i = -(A^{(1)\prime}_i + 2HA^{(1)}_i + \partial_i\varphi^{(1)}). \tag{54}$$

Therefore the inhomogeneous Maxwell's equations could be reduced to two invariant equations

$$\nabla^2\varphi^{(1)} + \frac{1}{a^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\nabla\cdot(a^2A^{(1)})\right) = -a\varrho_{(1)} \tag{55}$$
$$\nabla^2 A^{(1)}_i - \frac{1}{a^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}(a^2A^{(1)}_i) - \partial_i\left(\nabla\cdot A^{(1)} + \frac{1}{a^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(a^2\varphi^{(1)})\right) = -aJ^{(1)}_i. \tag{56}$$

The latter equations although are written in terms of gauge invariant quantities, they have an arbitrariness in the potentials known in electrodynamics given by transformations $\widetilde{A}^{(1)}_i = A^{(1)}_i + \partial_i\Lambda$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}^{(1)} = \varphi^{(1)} - \frac{1}{a^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(a^2\Lambda)$, being Λ some scalar function of same order that potentials and where the fields are left unchange under this transformation. As it's commonly known in the literature, the freedom given by this transformation imply we can choose the set of potentials satisfy the Lorentz conditions which in this case is

$$\nabla\cdot A^{(1)} + \frac{1}{a^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(a^2\varphi^{(1)}) = 0. \tag{57}$$

Therefore, we can arrive at uncoupling set of equations for the potentials, which are equivalents to Maxwell equations

$$\nabla^2\varphi^{(1)} - \frac{1}{a^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}(a^2\varphi^{(1)}) = -a\varrho_{(1)} \tag{58}$$

$$\nabla^2 A_i^{(1)} - \frac{1}{a^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}(a^2 A_i^{(1)}) = -a J_i^{(1)}. \tag{59}$$

At second order the procedure is more complex given the gauge dependence of the potentials. Using the antisymmetrization and the gauge transformation equation $(22b)$, the four-portential transforms like

$$\overline{\varphi}^{(2)} = \varphi^{(2)} + 2\left[\frac{\alpha_{(1)}}{a^2}(a^2\varphi_{(1)})' + \xi^i_{(1)}\partial_i\varphi^{(1)} + \alpha'_{(1)}\varphi_{(1)} - \xi_i^{(1)\prime}A^i_{(1)}\right], \tag{60}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_i^{(2)} = A_i^{(2)} + 2\left[\frac{\alpha_{(1)}}{a^2}(a^2 A_i^{(1)})' + \partial_l A_i^{(1)}\xi^l_{(1)} - \varphi_{(1)}\partial_i\alpha^{(1)} + A^l_{(1)}\partial_i\xi_l^{(1)}\right]. \tag{61}$$

If we apply the curl operator at vector potential $\mathcal{A}_i^{(2)}$, we obtain the transformation of magnetic field given by equation (50), namely, we can express the vector potential as

$$\mathcal{B}_i^{(2)} = (\nabla \times \mathcal{A}^{(2)})_i, \tag{62}$$

Similarly, we can use the induction equation $(48b)$ found in the previous section, and with some algebra we arrive that scalar potential is described in terms of electric field equation (49) as

$$\partial_i\overline{\varphi}^{(2)} = -\mathcal{E}_i^{(2)} - \frac{1}{a^2}\left(a^2\mathcal{A}_i^{(2)}\right)', \tag{63}$$

again the four-potential at this order has a freedom mediated by some scalar function Λ with same order and under similar transformations showed at first order, the fields $\mathcal{E}_i^{(2)}$ and $\mathcal{B}_i^{(2)}$ are left unchanged. Let us continue with the Maxwell equation at second order written in terms of the four-potential. For this, we substitute the equations (62),(63) in the inhomogeneous Maxwell equations $(48a)$, $(48b)$, thus we obtain these coupled equations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nabla^2\overline{\varphi}^{(2)} + \frac{1}{a^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\nabla\cdot(a^2\mathcal{A}^{(2)})\right) - 4\left(\frac{1}{a^2}(a^2A_i^{(1)})' + \partial_i\varphi^{(1)}\right)\times \\
&\partial^i(\tilde{\psi}^{(1)} - 3\tilde{\phi}^{(1)}) = -a\Delta^{(2)}_{\varrho}, \qquad (64)\\
&\nabla^2\mathcal{A}_i^{(2)} - \frac{1}{a^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}(a^2\mathcal{A}_i^{(2)}) - \partial_i\left(\nabla\cdot\mathcal{A}^{(2)} + \frac{1}{a^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(a^2\overline{\varphi}^{(2)})\right) \\
&-4\left(\frac{1}{a^2}(a^2A_i^{(1)})' + \partial_i\varphi^{(1)}\right)\left(\tilde{\psi}'_{(1)} - 3\tilde{\phi}'_{(1)}\right) + 4\left(\nabla^2A_i^{(1)} - \partial_i(\nabla\cdot A^{(1)})\right)\times \\
&\left(\tilde{\psi}_{(1)} - 3\tilde{\phi}_{(1)}\right) = -a\mathcal{J}_i^{(2)}. \qquad (65)
\end{aligned}$$

The $\boldsymbol{S}$ functions are not content in the equations because the gauge dependence are in the variables. The gravitational potentials $\tilde{\psi}$ and $\tilde{\phi}$ are described by equations $(42a)$, $(42b)$. With these equations we can see a strong dependence between the electromagnetics fields and the gravitational effects with the couples we have at first order between these variables. The Maxwells equations found above, are still gauge dependent due to the fact that electromagnetic and gravitational potentials have a freedom in the choice of ξ^ν, the gauge vector. Thus fixing the value of ξ^ν, the variables might take on their given meaning. For example, let us proceed by assuming that we have $\tilde{\psi}^{(1)} - 3\tilde{\phi}^{(1)} = 0$, in order to have the same expression gotten in the first order

case. Therefore, using the equations (42a) and (42b) a constraint in the vector part of the gauge dependence is found

$$-\nabla^2\beta^{(1)} = \psi^{(1)} - 3\phi^{(1)} + 4H\alpha^{(1)} + \alpha'_{(1)}, \tag{66}$$

with this choice, the conservations equation given by expression (53) reads as

$$\varrho^{(2)\prime} + 3H\varrho^{(2)} + \nabla\cdot J^i_{(2)} + 2\varrho_{(1)}(\psi'_{(1)} - 3\phi'_{(1)}) + 2J_{(1)}\cdot\nabla(\psi_{(1)} - 3\phi_{(1)}) = 0, \tag{67}$$

which is gauge invariant and equivalent to the equation (B2) in [27]. Besides, we can use the Lorentz condition for fixing the freedom of the fields

$$\nabla\cdot\mathcal{A}^{(2)} + \frac{1}{a^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(a^2\overline{\varphi}^{(2)}) = 0. \tag{68}$$

Finally, it's more convenient to write the Maxwell's equations the way shown in this section, for studying the behavior of electromagnetic fields in scenarios such as inflation, vector-tensor theories [29, 30] or quantization of gauge theories in nontrivial spacetimes [31].

5. Equivalence

In this section we present a new way for contrasting the approaches mentioned above. For this, we compare the gauge invariant quantities built in each approach, this way is made by [32] and [19]. The comoving gauge is defined by choosing spatial coordinates such that the 3−velocity of the fluid vanishes $\tilde{u}_i = 0$, and the four-velocity is orthogonal to hypersurface of constant time [18]. Then, for equation (40) of four-velocity at first order we have $\tilde{\omega_i}^{(1)} + \tilde{v_i}^{(1)} = 0$, in order to vanish the spatial part of the peculiar velocity, therefore using equations (42c), (42d) we obtain the values for ξ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\omega_i}^{(1)} + \tilde{v_i}^{(1)} = 0 \to \alpha^{(1)} = v^{\parallel} + \omega^{\parallel}\,,\\
&\tilde{v}^{\parallel(1)} = 0 \to \beta^{(1)} = \int v^{\parallel}d\tau + C^{\parallel}(x^i),\\
&\tilde{v_i}^{(1)} = 0 \to d_i^{(1)} = \int v_i^{\perp}d\tau + C_i^{\perp}(x^i).
\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

For comparing the gauge invariant in each formalism, we expand the equation (13a), where we use the projector defined in section 2 and the four-velocity given by equation (40), at first order we obtain the following

$$\mathcal{B}_0 \sim D_0 B^2_{(1)} = 0, \tag{70}$$

for the temporal part. For spatial part we obtain the following

$$\mathcal{B}_i \sim D_i B^2_{(1)} = \partial_i\left(B^2_{(1)} + \left(B^2_{(0)}\right)'\left(v^{\parallel}_{(1)} + \omega^{\parallel}_{(1)}\right)\right). \tag{71}$$

If we compare the latter equation with the found for energy density of magnetic field in section 3, equation (47) where temporal part of the vector gauge α is substituted with the value in comovil gauge, equation (69), we obtain that

$$\mathcal{B}_i \sim D_i B^2_{(1)} = \partial_i\Delta^{(1)}_{mag}. \tag{72}$$

For describing the equivalence at second order, we must require that $\tilde{u_i} = 0$ again, thus checking the equation (40) we found that

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{\omega}_i^{(2)} + \tilde{v}_i^{(2)}\right) - \tilde{\omega}_i^{(1)}\tilde{\psi}^{(1)} - 2\tilde{v}_i^{(1)}\tilde{\phi}^{(1)} + \tilde{v}_{(1)}^j\tilde{\chi}_{ij}^{(1)} = 0. \tag{73}$$

At substitute the equations $(42a)$, $(42b)$, $(42c)$, $(42d)$ and values for $\tilde{\omega}_i^{(2)}$, $\tilde{v}_i^{(2)}$, and $\tilde{\chi}_{ij}^{(1)}$ given by equations (5.35), (5.42) and (5.21) of [13] respectively in the latter equation, we obtain the temporal gauge dependence $\alpha^{(2)}$ written in the comovil gauge given by

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_i\alpha^{(2)} = {} & \omega_i^{(2)} + v_i^{(2)} - 4\psi^{(1)}\left(\omega_i^{(1)} + v_i^{(1)}\right) + 2v_i^{(1)}\left(\psi^{(1)} - 2\phi^{(1)}\right) \\ & + \left(\omega_\parallel^{(1)} + v_\parallel^{(1)}\right)\left(\omega_i^{(1)} + v_i^{(1)}\right)' - \left(\omega_\parallel^{(1)} + v_\parallel^{(1)}\right)'\left(\omega_i^{(1)} + v_i^{(1)}\right) \\ & + \partial_i\xi_j^{(1)}\left(\omega_{(1)}^j + v_{(1)}^j\right) + 2\chi_{ij}v^j + \xi_{(1)}^j\partial_j\left(\omega_i^{(1)} + v_i^{(1)}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

As an alternative way, we can use the equation (A12) in [27] and transforms it from Poisson to comovil gauge. Again, to expand the equation $(13a)$ at second order, the temporal part corresponds to

$$D_0B_{(2)}^2 = -v_{(1)}^iB_{(0)}^{2\prime}\left(v_i^{(1)} + \omega_i^{(1)}\right) - v_{(1)}^i\partial_iB_{(1)}^2, \tag{75}$$

where is the same result found in (71) times $v_{(1)}^i$, therefore the temporal part is zero and give us an important constraint for our work. For the spatial part we found the following

$$\begin{aligned}D_iB^2 = {} & \frac{1}{2}\partial_iB_{(2)}^2 + \left(\omega_i^{(1)} + v_i^{(1)}\right)B_{(1)}^{2\prime} + B_{(0)}^{2\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\omega_i^{(2)} + v_i^{(2)}\right)\right. \\ & \left. - 2\omega_i^{(1)}\psi_{(1)} - 2v_i^{(1)}\phi_{(1)} - \psi^{(1)}v_i^{(1)} + \chi_{ij}^{(1)}v_{(1)}^j\right)\end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

Now, applying the gradient operator ∂_i to $\Delta_{(mag)}^{(2)}$ showed in equation (51) which is a invariant quantity associated with energy density at second order, we found the following

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_i\Delta_{(mag)}^{(2)} = {} & \partial_iB_{(2)}^2 + \partial_i\alpha^{(2)}B_{(0)}^{2\prime} + 2\alpha_{(1)}\partial_i\alpha^{(1)}B_{(0)}^{2\prime\prime} + B_{(0)}^{2\prime}\left(\alpha^{(1)\prime}\partial_i\alpha^{(1)} + \alpha^{(1)}\partial_i\alpha_{(1)}'\right) \\ & + 2B_{(1)}^{2\prime}\partial_i\alpha^{(1)} + 2\alpha^{(1)}\partial_iB_{(1)}^{2\prime} + \partial_i\xi_{(1)}^j\partial_j\alpha^{(1)}B_{(0)}^{2\prime} + \xi_{(1)}^j\partial_i\partial_j\alpha^{(1)}B_{(0)}^{2\prime} \\ & + 2\partial_i\xi_{(1)}^j\partial_jB_{(1)}^{2\prime} + 2\xi_{(1)}^j\partial_j\partial_iB_{(1)}^2\end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

Thus, substituting the equations (75) and (69) in the latter equation, we obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_i\Delta_{(mag)}^{(2)} = {} & \frac{1}{2}\partial_iB_{(2)}^2 + \left(\omega_i^{(1)} + v_i^{(1)}\right)B_{(1)}^{2\prime} + B_{(0)}^{2\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\omega_i^{(2)} + v_i^{(2)}\right)\right. \\ & \left. - 2\omega_i^{(1)}\psi_{(1)} - 2v_i^{(1)}\phi_{(1)} - \psi^{(1)}v_i^{(1)} + \chi_{ij}^{(1)}v_{(1)}^j\right)\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

which is the expression found in equation (76). Therefore we have found an equivalence between the invariants of the two approaches up to second order, arriving to

$$\mathcal{B}_i \sim D_iB^2 = \partial_i\Delta_{mag}^{(2)}. \tag{79}$$

6. Discussion

Relativistic perturbation theory has been an important tool in theoretical cosmology to link scenarios of the early universe with cosmological data such as CMB-fluctuations. However, there is an issue in the treatment of this theory, which is called gauge problem. Due to the general covariance, a gauge degree of freedom, arises in cosmological perturbations theory. If the correspondence between a real and background space-time is not completely specified, the evolution of the variables will have unphysical modes. Different approaches have been developed for overcome this problem, amoung them, 1+3 covariant gauge invariant and the gauge invariant approaches, which were studied in the present paper. Following some results shown in [32] and [19], we have contrasted these formalisms comparing their gauge invariant variables defined in each case. Using a magnetic scenario, we have shown a strong relation between both formalisms, indeed, we found that gauge invariant defined by 1+3 covariant approach is related with spatial variations of the magnetic field energy density (variable defined in the invariant gauge formalism) between two closed fundamental observers as we see in equations (72) and (79). Besides, we have derived the gauge transformations for electromagnetic potentials, equations (60) and (61), which are relevant in the study of evolution of primordial magnetic fields in scenarios such as inflation or later phase transitions. With the description of the electromagnetic potentials, we have rewritten the Maxwell's equations in terms of these ones, finding again an important coupling with the gravitational potentials.

7. Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Observatorio Astronómico Nacional from Universidad Nacional de Colombia. The package VEST (Vector Einstein Summation Tools)[34] was used to obtain some properties in the potentials in Section 4.

References

[1] Padmanabhan T, 2006 *AIP Conf.Proc.* **843**, 111,arXiv:astro-ph/0602117v1
[2] Lifshitz E, 1946 *J. Phys. (USSR)* **10**, 116
[3] Hawking S. W, 1966 *Astrophys. J.* **145**, 544
[4] Olson D. W, 1976 *Phys. Rev.* D **14**, 327
[5] Gerlach U. H, Sengupta U. K, 1978 *Phys. Rev.* D **18**, 1789
[6] Bardeen J. M, 1980 *Phys. Rev.* D **22**, 1882
[7] Kodama H, Sasaki M, 1984 *Progr. Theoret. Phys. Sup.***78**, 1
[8] Sachs R. K, Gravitational Radiation, in Relativity, Groups and Topology 1964, ed. C. DeWitt and B. DeWitt, (New York: Gordon and Breach)
[9] Nakamura K, 2010 *Advances in Astronomy* **2010**, 576273 , arXiv:1001.2621v2
[10] Ellis G. F. R, Bruni M, 1989 *Phys. Rev.* D **40**, 1804
[11] Stewart J. M, Walker M, 1974 *Royal Society of London Proceedings Series A* **341**, 49
[12] Stewart J. M, 1990 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **7**, 1169
[13] Bruni M, Matarrese S, Mollerach S, Sonego S 1997 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14** 2585

[14] Nakamura K, 2007 *Prog.Theor.Phys.* **117**, 17 , arXiv:gr-qc/0605108v3
[15] Bruni M, Dunsby P. K. S, Ellis G. F. R, 1992 *Astrophys. J.* **395**, 34
[16] Vitenti S. D. P, Falciano F. T, Pinto-Neto N, 2013 arXiv:1311.6730v2
[17] Christopherson A. J, Malik K. M, Matravers D. M, Nakamura K, 2011 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **28**, 225024
[18] Malik K. A, Wands D, 2009 *Physics Reports* **475**, 1
[19] Malik K, Matravers D, 2013 gr-qc/ 1206.1478v4
[20] Grasso D, Rubistein H. R, 1995 *Astropart.Phys.* **3**, 95, arXiv:astro-ph/9409010v1
[21] Kahniashvili T, 2004,*New Astron.Rev.* **49**, 79, arXiv:astro-ph/0405184v1
[22] Giovannini M, 2006 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23**, 4991
[23] Tsagas C. G, 2005 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **22**, 393
[24] Tsagas C. G, Barrow J. D, 1997 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14**, 2539
[25] Barrow J, Maartens R, Tsagas C 2007 *Physics Reports* **449** 131
[26] Ellis G.F.R, *Cargèse Lectures in Physics vol 1*, 1973, ed E. Schatzmann (New York: Gordon and Breach), p 1
[27] Hortúa H. J, Castañeda L, Tejeiro J. M, 2013 *Phys. Rev. D* **87** 103531
[28] Tsagas C. G, Barrow J. D, 1998 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **15**, 3523
[29] Beltrán Jiménez J., Koivisto T. S., Maroto A. L., Mota D. F., 2009 *JCAP* **10**,029
[30] Sáez D. & Dale R., 2012 *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 124047
[31] Beltrán Jiménez J. & Maroto A. L., 2011 Modern Physics Letters A **26**, 40
[32] Bruni M, Sonego S, 1999 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **16**, L29
[33] Sopuerta C. F, Bruni M, Gualtieri L, 2004 *Phys. Rev. D* **70** 064002
[34] Squire J, Burby J and Qin H, 2014 *Computer Physics Communications* **185** 128